UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/550,928	09/28/2005	Matthew Compton	282592US8X PCT	3691	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER		
			JOHN, CLARENCE		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2443			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/13/2010	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Office Action Summary		Application	n No. Applicant(s)				
		10/550,92	8	COMPTON, MATTHEW			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		CLARENC	E JOHN	2443			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication r Reply	n appears on the	cover sheet with the c	correspondence ad	ddress		
WHIC - Exten after: - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by apply received by the Office later than three months after the d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IG DATE OF THE FR 1.136(a). In no even on. period will apply and wistatute, cause the apple.	IIS COMMUNICATION ont, however, may a reply be tir Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·		
Status							
2a)⊠ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on . This action is FINAL . 2b) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice uncommunication.	This action is n	on-final. for formal matters, pro		e merits is		
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) 1,3-13 and 15-24 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,3-13 and 15-24 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and papers.	hdrawn from co	nsideration.				
	on Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Exa The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) o the drawing(s) b orrection is require	e held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	, ,		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary				
3) 🔯 Inforn	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) · No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/5/2010</u> .	8)	Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2443

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

This action is responsive to communication filed on February 26, 2010. Claims 1, 3-13, 15-24 are pending.

Response to Arguments

- 1. With respect to Claim 1, the Applicant argues that Yamamoto does not teach the claimed invention, "receives raw data to turn into packets from a network", which is a distinguishing feature.
- 2. In reply to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies i.e, "receives raw data to turn into packets from a network", are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).
- 3. Although the feature of "receives raw data to turn into packets from a network" is not being claimed, it is noted that Yamamoto teaches a network interface device connectable to a network, the device being arranged to receive digital audio data representing an audio signal and to launch data packets representing the digital audio data onto the network, the device comprising: a packetiser

Art Unit: 2443

operable: to format the digital audio data into audio data packets to be launched onto the network; (Column 2, lines 48-53. Here the digital audio data is formatted according to the digital audio data recorded as the audio data file ODau and the signature data embedded audio data file SDau); and to format the audio level data into audio level data packets separate from the audio data packets to be launched onto the network. (Column 22, lines 56-62. Here the attribute data is transmitted as a data file separated from the audio data).

- 4. The Applicant also argues that the embedded watermark in Yamamoto's teachings would not be transmitted as separate data in a separate packet to the content.
- 5. In reply, the Examiner states that the embedded watermark would be transmitted as separate data in a separate packet to the content. (Column 2, lines 48-53, Column 8, lines 42-53, Column 22, lines 56-62). At the distribution end, the relevant information of digital data such as audio data is distributed to the user. The relevant information is embedded as a watermark, transmitting the digital data. The digital audio data is formatted according to the digital audio data recorded as the audio data file ODau and the signature data embedded audio data file SDau; The attribute data is transmitted as a data file separated from the audio data.

Art Unit: 2443

6. The Applicant also argues that the data source is not already in a packetised form and does not generate or transmit packets.

- 7. **In reply**, the Examiner disagrees and states that Yamamoto does teach the above limitation. (Column 9, lines 3-8, lines 30-45, Column 10, lines 12-22, Column 12, lines 45-58). The embedded watermarking method of digital data has plurality of data packets. This embedded watermarking method generates output digital data which is transmitted.
- 8. The Applicant also argues that Yamamoto and Kuhn do not operate in a similar manner and cannot be combined together.
- 9. In reply, the Examiner states that Yamamoto and Kuhn teach in their networks which have common grounds of digital audio and video data processing. According to the Supreme Court Decision in KSR International Co. v. Teleflex Inc., 550 U.S. -, 82 USPQ2d 1385 (2007), it would have been obvious to combine the use of known technique which Kuhn teaches in the same way with Yamamoto so that an audio/video synchronous test signal generator be included in order to minimize or remove the noise signal.

Art Unit: 2443

10. Examiner notes that no new matter has been added and that the amended claims are rejected based on the same references as cited by the previous office action.

11. Applicant has failed to clearly point out patentable novelty in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited that would overcome the 103 (a) rejections applied against the claims, the rejection is therefore sustained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 12. Claims 1, 3-13, 15-20 and 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamamoto et al. (US6529506) in view of Kuhn et al. (US6529506).
- 13. With respect to Claim 1, Yamamoto teaches a network interface device connectable to a network, the device being arranged to receive digital audio data representing an audio signal and to launch data packets representing the digital audio data onto the network, the device comprising: a packetiser operable: to format the digital audio data into audio data packets to be launched onto the

Application/Control Number: 10/550,928

Art Unit: 2443

network; (Column 2, lines 48-53. Here the digital audio data is formatted according to the digital audio data recorded as the audio data file ODau and the signature data embedded audio data file SDau); and to format the audio level data into audio level data packets separate from the audio data packets to be launched onto the network. (Column 22, lines 56-62. Here the attribute data is transmitted as a data file separated from the audio data).

Page 6

- 14. Yamamoto teaches the limitations of Claim 1 as described above. However, Yamamoto does not explicitly state about an audio level detector having a processor programmed to generate from audio properties of the digital audio data, audio level data representing an audio level of the audio signal.
- 15. Conversely Kuhun does in fact teach such limitations. (Column 8, lines 61-67 and Column 9, lines 1-15, Figure 9). Kuhn teaches in his network about a method of an audio/video synchronous test signal generator where the audio and video signals are injected and routes the two signals into a switch where they are switched into a channel for encoding and transmission. The audio decoder terminates the audio input and synchronizes with the frame boundary of 900 and measuring the level of the audio channel 910. The audio content is transmitted if the audio level is greater than or equal to -45 dBu.
- 16. Yamamoto and Kuhn teach in their networks which have common grounds of digital audio and video data processing. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined

Application/Control Number: 10/550,928

Art Unit: 2443

the teachings of Kuhn with Yamamoto so that an audio/video synchronous test signal generator be included in order to minimize or remove the noise signal.

Page 7

- 17. With respect to Claim 3, Yamamoto and Kuhn teach the limitations of Claim as described above. However, Yamamoto does not explicitly state about a device according to claim 1, being arranged to launch the audio data packets and the audio level data packets onto the network as separate respective multicast groups. Conversely Kuhun does in fact teach such limitations. Kuhn teaches a multicast network consisting of gateways connecting New York, Los Angeles and Washington DC. (Figure 1, Column 5, lines 21-30. Here audio and video data are transmitted on to the network via a switched asynchronous transfer mode).
- 18. Yamamoto and Kuhn teach in their networks which have common grounds of digital audio and video data processing. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the teachings of Kuhn with Yamamoto in order to transmit the best quality of audio and video to the subscriber via an ATM network so that the data can be converted back to analog or digital format.
- 19. With respect to Claim 4, Yamamoto and Kuhn teach the limitations of Claim 1 as described above. However Yamamoto does not explicitly state in which audio level detector is arranged to generate the audio level data representing the audio level at periodic intervals. according to claim 1, in which the audio level detector

Art Unit: 2443

is arranged to generate the audio level data representing the audio level at periodic intervals.

- 20. Conversely Kuhun does in fact teach such limitations. (Page 7, lines 17-40). Here the ambient audio program is determined by the test generator which monitors the audio channel for short periods of stable audio to measure power levels for every 15 frames over a period of 60 frames).
- 21. Yamamoto and Kuhn teach in their networks which have common grounds of digital audio and video data processing. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the teachings of Kuhn with Yamamoto so that an audio/video synchronous test signal generator be included in order to minimize or remove the noise signal.
- 22. With respect to Claim 5, Yamamoto and Kuhn teach the limitations of Claim 1 as described above. However, Yamamoto does not explicitly state a device according to claim 1, in which: the digital audio data is associated with digital video data representing a video signal having a picture repetition period.
- 23. Conversely Kuhun does in fact teach such limitations. (Column 7, lines 41-45, Column 8, lines 14-19, lines 43-44, Figure 8, step 800, step 860. Here the yes condition on each frame boundary is tested to determine if the video markers were transmitted on the previous frame and the test generator waits for 3 seconds).

Application/Control Number: 10/550,928

Art Unit: 2443

24. Yamamoto and Kuhn teach in their networks which have common grounds of digital audio and video data processing. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the teachings of Kuhn with Yamamoto so that an audio/video synchronous test signal generator be included in order to minimize or remove the noise signal.

Page 9

- 25. With respect to Claim 6, Yamamoto and Kuhn teach the limitations of Claim 5 as described above. However, Yamamoto does not explicitly state about a device according to claim 5, in which the picture repetition period is a frame repetition period. Conversely Kuhun does in fact teach such limitations. (Column 7, lines 41-45, Column 8, lines 14-19, lines 43-44, Figure 8, step 800, step 860. Here the video markers are represented as frames which are transmitted as each frame boundary is tested to determine if the video markers were transmitted on the previous frame).
- 26. With respect to Claim 7, Yamamoto and Kuhn teach the limitations of Claim 5 as described above. However, Yamamoto does not explicitly state about a device according to claim 5, in which the picture repetition period is a field repetition period. Conversely Kuhun does in fact teach such limitations. (Column 7, lines 41-45, Column 8, lines 14-19, lines 43-44, Figure 8, step 800, step 860. Here the video markers are represented as frames which are transmitted as each frame

Art Unit: 2443

boundary is tested to determine if the video markers were transmitted on the previous frame. According to the specification, the frame and field are similar).

- 27. With respect to Claim 8, Yamamoto and Kuhn teach a device according to claim 5, in which the digital video data is received by the device as part of an composite data stream carrying both the digital video data and the digital audio data. (Yamamoto's teachings on Figure 7, Column 32, lines 56-58, Column 33, lines 19-21. Column 34, lines 35-42. Here the Data Processing Apparatus 140 has both digital video data and digital audio data).
- 28. With respect to Claim 9, Yamamoto and Kuhn teach a device according to claim 8 further comprising a data converter for converting the digital audio data of the composite data stream into separate digital audio data to be launched onto the network as audio data packets, (Yamamoto's teachings on Column 26, lines 34-45, Figure 12, blocks Da1, Da2 ...Dan which are separate Digital audio Data packets); in which the packetiser is operable to format the digital video data into video data packets to be launched onto the network. (Yamamoto's teachings on Figure 8 Column 33, lines 14-32, Column 35 lines 5-8, Column 36, lines 5-19. Here the digital video data are separated into video data packets and transmitted).

Art Unit: 2443

29. With respect to Claim 10, Yamamoto and Kuhn teach a device according to claim 8, in which the packetiser is operable to format the composite data stream into composite data packets to be launched onto the network. (Yamamoto's teachings on Column 36, lines 5-19).

- 30. With respect to Claim 11, Yamamoto teaches a network destination device connectable to a network, the device comprising: a processor connectable to a network, (Figure 1 and Figure 11, device 156 connected to a network via Dbus, Column 17, lines 29-30 -the control unit comprises a processor) the device being operable to receive audio data packets representing an audio level of the audio signal (Column 45, lines 50-57); and display (Column 32, lines 60-61, Figure 7, apparatus 140) a user interface (Column 17, lines 11-15) including a user indication representing a current value of the audio level data. (Column 2, lines 55-61, Column 3, lines 4-6).
- 31. Yamamoto teaches the limitations of Claim 1 as described above. However,

 Yamamoto does not explicitly state about the device being operable to receive
 audio level data packets carrying audio level data representing an audio level of
 the audio signal.
- 32. Conversely Kuhun does in fact teach such limitations. (Column 8, lines 61-67 and Column 9, lines 1-15, Figure 9). Kuhn teaches in his network about a method of an audio/video synchronous test signal generator where the audio and video signals are injected and routes the two signals into a switch where they are

Art Unit: 2443

switched into a channel for encoding and transmission. The audio decoder terminates the audio input and synchronizes with the frame boundary of 900 and measuring the level of the audio channel 910. The audio content is transmitted if the audio level is greater than or equal to -45 dBu.

- 33. Yamamoto and Kuhn teach in their networks which have common grounds of digital audio and video data processing. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the teachings of Kuhn with Yamamoto so that an audio/video synchronous test signal generator be included in order to minimize or remove the noise signal.
- 34. With respect to Claim 12, Yamamoto and Kunh teach a device according to claim 11, in which the user interface comprises means for generating a visible indication for display on a display screen, (Yamamoto's teachings on Column 32, lines 60-61, Figure 7, TV receiver 144b); indicative of a current value of the audio level data (Yamamoto's teachings on Figure 18a and 18b. This represents the value of the image displayed)
- 35. With respect to Claim 13, Yamamoto and Kunh teach a device according to claim 12, comprising a display screen. (Yamamoto's teachings on Figure 7, TV receiver 144b)

Art Unit: 2443

36. With respect to Claim 15, Yamamoto and Kunh teach all limitations as described in Claim 11.

- 37. However Yamamoto does not explicitly state in which audio level data represents values of the audio level at periodic intervals.
- 38. Conversely Kuhun does in fact teach such limitations. (Page 7, lines 17-40). Here the ambient audio program is determined by the test generator which monitors the audio channel for short periods of stable audio to measure power levels for every 15 frames over a period of 60 frames).
- 39. Yamamoto and Kuhn teach in their networks which have common grounds of digital audio and video data processing. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the teachings of Kuhn with Yamamoto so that an audio/video synchronous test signal generator be included in order to minimize or remove the noise signal
- 40. With respect to Claim 16, Yamamoto and Kuhn teach a device according to claim 11, the device being selectively operable to receive the audio level data packets but not to receive the audio data packets. (Yamamoto's teachings on Column 22, lines 48-62. Here the user receives the attribute data which is separated from the audio data).

Art Unit: 2443

41. With respect to Claim 17, Yamamoto and Kuhn teach a device according to claim 1, the device being operable to launch the audio packets onto the network substantially in real time. (Yamamoto's teachings on Column 22, lines 52-58).

- 42. With respect to Claim 18, Yamamoto teaches a data network comprising: one or more devices according to claim 1; (Figure 1 and Figure 11, device 156 connected to a network via Dbus); one or more network destination devices operable to receive audio data packets representing an audio signal (Column 45, lines 50-57); the one or more network destination devices comprising a user interface (Column 17, lines 11-15); arranged to provide a user indication representing a current value of the audio level data; (Column 2, lines 55-61, Column 3, lines 4-6) and a network providing data communication between the one or more network devices (Figure 1, Network N).
- 43. Yamamoto teaches the limitations of Claim 18 as described above. However, Yamamoto does not explicitly state about the one or more devices being operable to receive audio level data packets carrying audio level data representing an audio level of the audio signal; Conversely Kuhun does in fact teach such limitations. (Column 8, lines 61-67 and Column 9, lines 1-15, Figure 9). Kuhn teaches in his network about a method of an audio/video synchronous test signal generator where the audio and video signals are injected and routes the two signals into a switch where they are switched into a channel for encoding and transmission. The audio decoder terminates the audio input and

Art Unit: 2443

synchronizes with the frame boundary of 900 and measuring the level of the audio channel 910. The audio content is transmitted if the audio level is greater than or equal to -45 dBu.

- 44. Yamamoto and Kuhn teach in their networks which have common grounds of digital audio and video data processing. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the teachings of Kuhn with Yamamoto so that an audio/video synchronous test signal generator be included in order to minimize or remove the noise signal.
- 45. With respect to Claim 19, Yamamoto teaches a network interface device connectable to a network and operable to receive a composite data stream carrying digital video data and digital audio data; the digital audio data representing an audio signal, device comprising: a data converter for converting the digital audio data of the composite data stream into separate digital audio data; (Column 26, lines 34-45, Figure 12, blocks Da1, Da2 ...Dan which are separate Digital audio Data); a packetiser is operable: to format at least the digital video data of the composite data stream into video data packets to be launched onto the network; (Figure 8 Column 33, lines 14-32, Column 35 lines 5-8, Column 36, lines 5-19. Here the digital video data are separated into video data packets and transmitted); to format the separate digital audio data into audio data packets to be launched onto the network. (Column 2, lines 48-53, Column 22, lines 48-62), and to format the audio level data into audio level data packets,

Art Unit: 2443

separate from the audio data packets, to be launched on to the network. (Column 22, lines 56-62. Here the audio level data is transmitted as a data file separated from the audio data).

- 46. Yamamoto teaches the limitations of Claim 19 as described above. However, Yamamoto does not explicitly state about an audio level detector having a processor programmed to generate from audio properties of the digital audio data, audio level data representing an audio level of the audio signal.
- 47. Conversely Kuhun does in fact teach such limitations. (Column 8, lines 61-67 and Column 9, lines 1-15, Figure 9). Kuhn teaches in his network about a method of an audio/video synchronous test signal generator where the audio and video signals are injected and routes the two signals into a switch where they are switched into a channel for encoding and transmission. The audio decoder terminates the audio input and synchronizes with the frame boundary of 900 and measuring the level of the audio channel 910. The audio content is transmitted if the audio level is greater than or equal to -45 dBu.
- 48. Yamamoto and Kuhn teach in their networks which have common grounds of digital audio and video data processing. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the teachings of Kuhn with Yamamoto so that an audio/video synchronous test signal generator be included in order to minimize or remove the noise signal.

Art Unit: 2443

49. With respect to Claim 20, Yamamoto and Kunh teach a device according to claim 19, in which the packetiser is operable to format the composite data stream into composite data packets to be launched onto the network. (Yamamoto's teachings on Figure 7, Column 32, lines 56-58, Column 33, lines 19-21. Column 34, lines 35-42. Here the Data Processing Apparatus 140 has both digital video data and digital audio data).

- 50. With respect to Claim 22 Yamamoto teaches a method of operation of a network interface device connectable to a network, (Figure 1 and Figure 11, device 156 connected to a network via Dbus); the device being arranged to receive digital audio data representing an audio signal (Column 45, lines 50-57); and substantially in real time to launch data packets representing the digital audio data onto the network, (Column 2, lines 48-53, Column 22, lines 48-62); the method comprising: formatting the digital audio data into audio data packets to be launched onto the network; (Column 2, lines 48-53, Column 22, lines 48-62); and formatting the audio level data into audio level data packets separate from the audio data packets to be launched onto the network. (Column 22, lines 56-62. Here the attribute data is transmitted as a data file separated from the audio data).
- 51. Yamamoto teaches the limitations of Claim 22 as described above. However, Yamamoto does not explicitly state about generating using a processor audio level data representing an audio level of the audio signal.

Art Unit: 2443

- 52. Conversely Kuhun does in fact teach such limitations. (Column 8, lines 61-67 and Column 9, lines 1-15, Figure 9). Kuhn teaches in his network about a method of an audio/video synchronous test signal generator where the audio and video signals are injected and routes the two signals into a switch where they are switched into a channel for encoding and transmission. The audio decoder terminates the audio input and synchronizes with the frame boundary of 900 and measuring the level of the audio channel 910. The audio content is transmitted if the audio level is greater than or equal to -45 dBu.
- 53. Yamamoto and Kuhn teach in their networks which have common grounds of digital audio and video data processing. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the teachings of Kuhn with Yamamoto so that an audio/video synchronous test signal generator be included in order to minimize or remove the noise signal.
- 54. With respect to Claim 23, Yamamoto teaches a method of operation of a network destination device connectable to a network, (Figure 1 and Figure 11, device 156 connected to a network via Dbus); the device being operable to receive audio data packets representing an audio signal (Column 45, lines 50-57); the method comprising the step of: providing a user indication representing a current value of the attribute data. (Column 2, lines 55-61, Column 3, lines 4-6).
- 55. Yamamoto teaches the limitations of Claim 23 as described above. However, Yamamoto does not explicitly state about the device being operable to receive

Art Unit: 2443

attribute data packets carrying attribute data representing an attribute of the audio signal.

- 56. Conversely Kuhun does in fact teach such limitations. (Column 8, lines 61-67 and Column 9, lines 1-15, Figure 9). Kuhn teaches in his network about a method of an audio/video synchronous test signal generator where the audio and video signals are injected and routes the two signals into a switch where they are switched into a channel for encoding and transmission. The audio decoder terminates the audio input and synchronizes with the frame boundary of 900 and measuring the level of the audio channel 910. The audio content is transmitted if the audio level is greater than or equal to -45 dBu.
- 57. Yamamoto and Kuhn teach in their networks which have common grounds of digital audio and video data processing. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the teachings of Kuhn with Yamamoto so that an audio/video synchronous test signal generator be included in order to minimize or remove the noise signal.
- 58. With respect to Claim 24, Yamamoto teaches a method of operation of network interface device connectable to a network and operable to receive a composite data stream carrying digital video data and digital audio data; the digital audio data representing an audio signal, the method comprising the steps of: converting the digital audio data of the composite data stream into separate digital audio data; (Column 26, lines 34-45, Figure 12, blocks Da1, Da2 ...Dan

Art Unit: 2443

which are separate Digital audio Data); formatting at least the digital video data of the composite data stream into video data packets to be launched onto the network; (Figure 8 – Column 33, lines 14-32, Column 35 lines 5-8, Column 36, lines 5-19. Here the digital video data are separated into video data packets and transmitted); and formatting the separate digital audio data into audio data packets to be launched onto the network. (Column 2, lines 48-53, Column 22, lines 48-62); formatting the audio level data into audio level data packets separate from the audio data packets to be launched onto the network. (Column 22, lines 56-62. Here the attribute data is transmitted as a data file separated from the audio data).

59. Yamamoto teaches the limitations of Claim 24 as described above. However, Yamamoto does not explicitly state about generating using a processor, audio level data representing an audio level of the audio signal. Conversely Kuhun does in fact teach such limitations. (Column 8, lines 61-67 and Column 9, lines 1-15, Figure 9). Kuhn teaches in his network about a method of an audio/video synchronous test signal generator where the audio and video signals are injected and routes the two signals into a switch where they are switched into a channel for encoding and transmission. The audio decoder terminates the audio input and synchronizes with the frame boundary of 900 and measuring the level of the audio channel 910. The audio content is transmitted if the audio level is greater than or equal to -45 dBu.

Art Unit: 2443

60. Yamamoto and Kuhn teach in their networks which have common grounds of digital audio and video data processing. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the teachings of Kuhn with Yamamoto so that an audio/video synchronous test signal generator be included in order to minimize or remove the noise signal.

- 61. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamamoto and Kunh in further view of Fielder et al. US (6,446,037).
- 62. With respect to Claim 21, Yamamoto and Kunh teach a device according to claim 19, arranged to receive an audio stream; (Yamamoto's teachings on Column 2, lines 48-53); the packetiser being operable to format the separate digital audio data (Yamamoto's teachings on Column 2, lines 48-53, Column 22, lines 48-62); and the audio stream into audio data packets to be launched onto the network. (Yamamoto's teachings on Column 2, lines 48-53, Column 22, lines 48-62).
- 63. Yamamoto and Kunh teach the limitations as described in Claim 21. However, Yamamoto and Kunh do not explicitly state the audio stream received follows AES standards.
- 64. Conversely, Fielder does in fact teach Data channels which have a sixteen bit wide core layer and two four bit wide augmentation layers conforming to standard AES3 which is published by the Audio Engineering Society, AES (Column 2, lines 57-60).

Art Unit: 2443

65. Yamamoto, Kunh and Fielder teach about audio data processing. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the teachings of Fielder with Yamamoto and Kunh in order to maintain synchronization and effective buffering of incoming data where error detection is limited to save data capacity.

Conclusion

The above rejections are based upon the broadest reasonable interpretation of the claims. Applicant is advised that the specified citations of the relied upon prior art, in the above rejections, are only representative of the teachings of the prior art, and that any other supportive sections within the entirety of the reference (including any figures, incorporation by references, claims and /or priority documents) is implied as being applied to teach the scope of the claims.

Applicant may not introduce any new matter to the claims or to the specification. For any subsequent response that contains new/amended claims, Applicant is required to cite its corresponding support in the specification.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 2443

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CLARENCE JOHN whose telephone number is (571)270-5937. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri 8:00 am to 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ms. Tonia Dollinger can be reached on 571-272-4170. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2443

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/CJ/ Patent Examiner Art Unit 2443 5/6/2010

/Tonia LM Dollinger/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2443